- On the issue of discovery, Plaintiff expects to file a motion for an order authorizing the parties to engage in immediate discovery on the issue of arbitrability, and Defendant expects to file a motion for protective order concerning discovery. Because these motions filed by Plaintiff and Defendant go to critical procedural aspects of this case, the parties agree that they have substantial case law and argument to present to this Court. In an effort to address both, the parties stipulate and jointly request that the Court allow the following page limits in filing their respective motions concerning discovery. Plaintiff's memorandum in support of its motion for an order authorizing the parties to engage in immediate discovery on the issue of arbitrability shall be limited to 20 pages, double-spaced, the response by Defendant thereto shall be limited to 6 pages, double-spaced, and no reply shall be filed by Plaintiff. Similarly, Defendant's memorandum in support of its motion for protective order shall also be limited to 20 pages double-spaced, Plaintiff's response thereto shall be limited to 6 pages, double-spaced, and no reply shall be filed by Defendant. The parties further stipulate that if this Court adopts these proposed page limits, they will file these discovery motions not later than 11 calendar days following the entry of the Court's order on this stipulated motion
- 3. On the issue of arbitrability, Plaintiff expects to file a motion for preliminary injunction, and Defendant expects to file a motion to compel arbitration. The parties have substantial case law to present to this Court on these motions. These motions are potentially dispositive of this case and are akin to a motion for summary judgment, for which the Court allows 25 pages. Accordingly, the parties stipulate and respectfully request that the parties be allowed a 25-page limit, double-spaced, for each of these motions. They further stipulate and agree that, if this Court adopts the parties' stipulation, they will file their respective motions not later than 11 calendar days following the Court's ruling on this stipulated motion.
- 4. The parties stipulate and agree that, if this Court adopts the parties' stipulation, ONESCO will file its motion to consolidate preliminary injunction and final hearing on the merits simultaneously with its motion for preliminary injunction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	Case 3:07-cv-02844-JSW	Document 23 Filed 08/27/2007 Page 3 of 3
1 2	WHEREFORE, the p	parties jointly request that this Court adopt this joint stipulation.
3		COODMAN & NEVVACIL DA
	Dated August 27, 2007	GOODMAN & NEKVASIL, P.A.
4 5		By: /s/ Joel A. Goodman Joel A. Goodman
6		Attorney <i>pro hac vice</i> for Defendants
7		LAW OFFICES OF CARY S. LAPIDUS
8		Cary S. Lapidus, Esq. Local Counsel
9		Local Counsel
10	Dated August 27, 2007	SQUIRES, SANDERS & DEMPSEY, LLP
11	2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	0 2011112, 0111121110 00 2 21111 02 1, 221
12		By: /s/ Joseph A. Meckes  Joseph A. Meckes, Esq. Daniel T. Balmat, Esq.
13		Daniel T. Balmat, Esq. Local Counsel
14		ZEIGER, TIGGES & LITTLE, LLP
15		Marion H. Little, Jr. Michael R. Reed
16		Attorneys pro hac vice for Plaintiff
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		-3-